

List (sketch before getting electronic version)- a start

1. *Acknowledge in a public statement that you accepted Koch funding and lied to student about Koch funding.*
  - a. Two \$1,000 contributions out of \$290,000
  - b. Did not recall on September 15<sup>th</sup> that that I had received a \$1000 contribution from them in February -- This was not a deliberate intent to deceive the students. I think the statement of demands goes too far in its characterization of my remarks.
  - c. Remember I received nearly 80 contributions of \$1,000 or more
  - d. More importantly, Georgia Pacific is largest private employer in the county and city of Palatka with 1,470 people
  - e. Putnam/Palatka has very high unemployment rate

2. *Acknowledge that the Koch contracts violate academic freedom and 2008 contract is a specific threat to academic freedom*

Waiting for contract –

Without reexamining, it makes sense to affirm the Faculty Senate Ad Hoc Committee Review Report of 2011 and the concerns it addressed.

3. *Publicly renounce the Koch foundation for signing corrupt contract with FSU and you make a good faith gesture of returning Koch campaigns funding you have received.*

While the students have characterized the contract as corrupt, I think that characterization of is not accurate. Corrupt typically refers to a willingness to act dishonestly, often for personal gain. This was done in good faith by the Economics Department and the previous administration to enhance the education of its students. The Faculty hired were and continue to be outstanding scholars and while the process was not what may have been desired such a characterization goes well beyond the usual understanding of that term.

[I am not sure how you typically treat campaign funds. In this case, it seems donating the \$2,000 in your campaign account associated with Koch to a charitable organization serving Putnam County would be appropriate, if there are such funds to dispose of in the campaign account. If possible, this could be part of a more general disposition of funds. Since funds are not typically designated by source when sent to a charitable organization, I do not think you should designate this time either. ]

4. *Agree to donor disclosure, review and investigation and work to create policies as well as ethics and transparency boards with FSU and the state of Florida to guard academic freedom.*

We will develop a process that appropriately provides for disclosure, review and investigation of donor gifts. I will ask the FSU Foundation to work on formulating such a process that protects the interest of the university and the ability of the Foundation to solicit much need funds.

5. *Create and support transparency policies that make all gifts, grants, contract or cooperative agreements between FSU and FSU foundation accessible to the public*

Agreements between FSU and the FSU foundation are subject to the open records law and I will continue to insist that such records remain accessible.

6. *Create a review process with student/faculty majority to assure that all existing and future private donor contracts are in line with the AAUP and FSU statements on academic freedom*

I will ask the FSU Foundation to include such a consideration in their deliberations about the process they develop that I mentioned earlier.

7. *Reject all future agreements with Koch Foundation, Koch Industries and affiliated non-profits.*

Each agreement entered into by the FSU Foundation or by FSU has to be evaluated and judged on its own merits. It is unlikely that FSU would be able to identify all organizations that are affiliated in some fashion with the Koch Foundation. Nor we would be able to determine ahead of time, the character of an individual donation. The Koch's renovations of Lincoln Center in NY City and its gifts to the Institute for Integrative Cancer Research at MIT don't appear to be the sort of gifts that would compromise the future of an institution and I think FSU has to remain open to such opportunities when they arise just as we would funds donated by the Rockefeller Foundation or the Carnegie Trust, other large foundations.

8. *Commission a Faculty Senate investigation into Koch conflicts of interest and circumstances leading up to 2013 contract including administration's failure to follow through with Faculty Senate and presidential recommendations.*

I will discuss this with the Senate and determine if they would like to have a follow up that looks in the Barron administration's implementation.

9. *Reject the current FSU contracts and create processes that will dismantle and destroy those contracts.*

The Koch Foundation has made this issue moot since it is withdrawing from such arrangements in the future. The Economics Department will develop a timeline that shows the end of the faculty funding arrangement with the Koch Foundation. The memorandum calls for an orderly end of the relationship in the event the two parties come to disagree.